The Inherited Disadvantage Equation

A Scientific Model for Measuring the Continuing Structural Disadvantage of Socially Constructed Black Americans in 2026

An Intent Whitepaper Series

2026

Abstract

This whitepaper argues that socially constructed Black Americans remain structurally disadvantaged in the United States because historical racial classification continues to operate through inherited wealth, neighborhood assignment, school quality, health exposure, labor-market discrimination, credit access, policing exposure, and intergenerational opportunity transfer.

The claim is not that every Black American experiences identical racism, nor that every outcome can be reduced to race alone. The claim is stronger and more scientifically defensible: when a society uses race as a sorting mechanism for centuries, the effects of that sorting become embedded into family capital, institutional pathways, health burdens, risk exposure, and opportunity probabilities.

This means that a socially constructed Black American can be disadvantaged by the racial history of the United States even without personally witnessing, naming, or consciously experiencing a discrete racist event. Structural disadvantage is not dependent on memory. It is dependent on position.

1 Core Thesis

The United States did not merely discriminate against individuals. It constructed a racial operating system. That operating system assigned social value, legal standing, land access,

labor value, safety, citizenship, proximity to capital, and bodily risk through a racial hierarchy.

Therefore, in 2026, the relevant scientific question is not:

> *Did this individual personally experience racism?*

The correct question is:

> *Does membership in the socially constructed Black category predict reduced access to accumulated advantage across measurable systems, even after formal legal equality?*

The answer, based on wealth, housing, health, labor-market, and intergenerational data, is yes.

The Federal Reserve's 2022 Survey of Consumer Finances shows that racial wealth gaps persisted and widened slightly by 2022, even though Black and Hispanic families had faster percentage growth in wealth during the period measured. Brookings' analysis of the same Federal Reserve data found that the median wealth gap between white and Black households reached roughly $240,120 in 2022.

The National Academies also states directly that historical structural racism affects current stocks of assets, skills, exposures, and opportunities, including college degrees and home equity, which compound across generations.

2 The Structural Disadvantage Function

Let an individual's life outcome be represented as:

$$O_i = f(W_i, E_i, H_i, L_i, C_i, S_i, P_i, \epsilon_i) \tag{1}$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
O_i &= \text{measurable outcome of individual } i \\
W_i &= \text{inherited wealth and family asset base} \\
E_i &= \text{educational access and school quality} \\
H_i &= \text{health burden and environmental exposure} \\
L_i &= \text{labor-market treatment} \\
C_i &= \text{credit, capital, and housing access} \\
S_i &= \text{safety, policing, and legal exposure} \\
P_i &= \text{political and institutional power} \\
\epsilon_i &= \text{individual variation, choices, luck, talent, and randomness}
\end{aligned}
$$

The central scientific claim is:

$$\mathbb{E}[O_i \mid B = 1] < \mathbb{E}[O_i \mid B = 0] \tag{2}$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B = 1 &\Rightarrow \text{the person is socially classified as Black in the United States} \\
B = 0 &\Rightarrow \text{the person is not socially classified as Black}
\end{aligned}
$$

This does not mean every Black person does worse than every white person. It means the expected value of outcomes is lower because the starting inputs are not randomly distributed.

3 The Inherited Position Equation

To prove disadvantage scientifically, we need to model starting position.

Let:

$$A_i = \text{inherited advantage of individual } i \tag{3}$$

Then:

$$A_i = \alpha W_p + \beta N_p + \gamma E_p + \delta S_p + \theta K_p \tag{4}$$

where:

W_p = parental or family wealth
N_p = neighborhood quality inherited or accessed through family position
E_p = parental education
S_p = social network access
K_p = knowledge of institutions, capital systems, and opportunity pathways

Structural disadvantage appears when the inherited advantage distribution differs by racial classification:

$$\mathbb{E}[A_i \mid B = 1] < \mathbb{E}[A_i \mid B = 0] \tag{5}$$

A person does not need to be personally denied a mortgage in 2026 to be harmed by mortgage discrimination from 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, or 2008. If that discrimination reduced family wealth, neighborhood access, school access, and inheritance, the disadvantage is carried forward as a lower inherited starting position.

4 The Compounding Disadvantage Model

Structural disadvantage behaves like compound interest in reverse.

Let advantage accumulate over time:

$$A_{t+1} = A_t(1 + r) + I_t - X_t \tag{6}$$

where:

A_t = advantage stock at time t
r = return rate on existing advantage
I_t = new institutional inputs, such as education, capital, inheritance, and protection
X_t = extraction, exclusion, penalties, predatory systems, and health burdens

For historically advantaged groups:

$$A_{t+1}^{adv} = A_t^{adv}(1 + r_{adv}) + I_t^{adv} - X_t^{adv} \tag{7}$$

For historically disadvantaged Black Americans:

$$A_{t+1}^{Black} = A_t^{Black}(1 + r_{Black}) + I_t^{Black} - X_t^{Black} \tag{8}$$

Structural disadvantage persists when:

$$A_t^{Black} < A_t^{adv} \tag{9}$$

$$r_{Black} \leq r_{adv} \tag{10}$$

$$I_t^{Black} < I_t^{adv} \tag{11}$$

$$X_t^{Black} > X_t^{adv} \tag{12}$$

This model explains why legal equality does not automatically erase unequal starting positions. If one group was prevented from accumulating assets, and another group was allowed to compound assets, equal rules in the present may preserve unequal results.

5 The Wealth Gap as Evidence of Historical Accumulation

Wealth is the cleanest empirical proof because wealth is not merely current income. Wealth is stored history.

In formal terms:

$$Wealth = Assets - Liabilities \tag{13}$$

But socially and historically:

$$Wealth = Past\ Opportunity + Protection + Inheritance + Appreciation - Extraction \tag{14}$$

A wage can be earned in the present. Wealth is accumulated across time.

That is why the Black-white wealth gap is one of the most scientifically important indi-

cators.

Urban Institute summarizes the median wealth gap starkly: for every dollar of wealth held by white families, Black families hold about 13 cents at the median.

Therefore:

$$\frac{MedianWealth_{Black}}{MedianWealth_{White}} \approx 0.13 \tag{15}$$

Or:

$$MedianWealth_{Black} \approx 0.13 \times MedianWealth_{White} \tag{16}$$

That is not a cultural preference. That is a structural residue.

6 The Labor-Market Discrimination Equation

Even after accounting for credentials, discrimination can still appear in callback probability.

Let:

$$Pr(CB_i = 1) = \sigma(\alpha + \beta Q_i + \gamma B_i + \delta X_i) \tag{17}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} CB_i &= \text{callback for job interview} \\ Q_i &= \text{resume quality} \\ B_i &= \text{racial signal} \\ X_i &= \text{other controls} \\ \sigma &= \text{logistic function} \end{aligned}$$

If:

$$\gamma < 0 \tag{18}$$

then racial signaling reduces callback probability even when qualifications are held con-

stant.

The classic Bertrand and Mullainathan resume audit study randomly assigned Black-sounding and white-sounding names to otherwise comparable resumes. White-sounding names received 50 percent more callbacks than Black-sounding names. The authors also found that the advantage of a white-sounding name was roughly comparable to adding eight years of experience.

That is scientific proof of a mechanism: not merely unequal outcomes, but unequal treatment under controlled conditions.

7 The No Personal Experience Required Principle

A person can be disadvantaged by a system without personally observing the system's causal chain.

The equation is:

$$D_i = H_i + G_i + M_i + R_i \tag{19}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &= \text{total disadvantage burden} \\ H_i &= \text{historical inheritance effect} \\ G_i &= \text{geographic or neighborhood effect} \\ M_i &= \text{market discrimination effect} \\ R_i &= \text{racial classification effect} \end{aligned}$$

A person may report:

$$PerceivedRacism_i = 0 \tag{20}$$

But still have:

$$D_i > 0 \tag{21}$$

because:

$$H_i + G_i + M_i + R_i > 0 \tag{22}$$

This is one of the most important arguments in the entire whitepaper.

Disadvantage does not require personal awareness. A child born into a family with less inherited wealth because their grandparents were excluded from federally supported housing markets does not need to know the name of the policy to live inside its consequences.

8 The Health Burden Equation

Health disparities also function as evidence of accumulated social exposure.

Let health outcome be:

$$Health_i = \alpha + \beta Income_i + \gamma Education_i + \delta Environment_i + \lambda Stress_i + \rho Healthcare_i + \eta B_i + \epsilon_i \tag{23}$$

The weathering hypothesis argues that chronic exposure to social and economic disadvantage accelerates physical health deterioration.

The point is not that biology creates race. The point is that racism creates biological consequences.

Therefore:

$$BiologicalRisk \neq Race \tag{24}$$

Instead:

$$BiologicalRisk = Exposure + Stress + Environment + Access + Time \tag{25}$$

So the claim becomes:

$$Race \rightarrow UnequalExposure \rightarrow UnequalHealthRisk \tag{26}$$

Not:

$$Race \rightarrow BiologicalInferiority \tag{27}$$

That distinction is essential.

9 The Structural Disadvantage Index

For the purposes of this Intent Whitepaper, we can create a formal measurement tool: the Structural Disadvantage Index, or SDI.

$$SDI_i = w_1 Z(W_i) + w_2 Z(E_i) + w_3 Z(H_i) + w_4 Z(L_i) + w_5 Z(C_i) + w_6 Z(S_i) + w_7 Z(P_i) \tag{28}$$

where each variable is standardized:

$$Z(X_i) = \frac{X_i - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \tag{29}$$

and:

$$w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + w_4 + w_5 + w_6 + w_7 = 1 \tag{30}$$

The index measures disadvantage across seven domains:

1. Wealth position
2. Education access
3. Health burden
4. Labor-market treatment
5. Credit and capital access
6. Safety and legal exposure
7. Political and institutional power

Group-level structural disadvantage exists when:

$$\mathbb{E}[SDI \mid B = 1] > \mathbb{E}[SDI \mid B = 0] \tag{31}$$

This assumes the SDI is coded so that higher values represent greater disadvantage.

10 The Intent Equation

For Intent-based analysis, we need to distinguish declared national intent from operational institutional intent.

Let:

$$I_d = \text{declared intent}$$
$$I_o = \text{operational intent}$$
$$R = \text{real-world result}$$

America's declared intent may be equality:

$$I_d = Equality \tag{32}$$

But the operational system produces unequal outputs:

$$R_{Black} \neq R_{White} \tag{33}$$

Therefore, the real institutional equation is:

$$I_o = \arg\max(R) \tag{34}$$

Meaning: the true operational intent of a system is revealed by the outcomes it repeatedly produces, protects, funds, tolerates, and normalizes.

If a system says equality but repeatedly produces racialized wealth gaps, housing gaps, health gaps, education gaps, and labor-market gaps, then the system's declared intent and operational intent are misaligned.

$$I_d \neq I_o \tag{35}$$

This is the Intent Framework contribution.

The nation's words say equality.

The nation's outputs say hierarchy.

11 The Proof Structure

The scientific proof rests on five claims.

11.1 Claim 1: Race was socially constructed into law, labor, housing, capital, and citizenship

This means race was not merely a personal identity. It was an institutional sorting category.

11.2 Claim 2: Institutional sorting created unequal asset accumulation

If one group was legally and socially restricted from land, wages, housing, credit, safety, and education, then that group's descendants inherit lower average asset positions.

11.3 Claim 3: Asset positions compound

Wealth, home equity, education, networks, and institutional knowledge reproduce themselves across generations.

11.4 Claim 4: Contemporary systems still produce measurable racial disparities

Federal Reserve data, Brookings analysis, Urban Institute research, National Academies findings, and labor-market audit studies all show continuing racialized disparities in wealth, opportunity, institutional exposure, or treatment.

11.5 Claim 5: Therefore, socially constructed Black Americans can be structurally disadvantaged in 2026 even without personally experiencing a discrete racist incident

This is because the disadvantage is carried through inherited position, institutional probability, and compounded exposure.

12 The Final Equation

The full whitepaper equation is:

$$O_i = \alpha + \beta_1 A_i + \beta_2 N_i + \beta_3 E_i + \beta_4 H_i + \beta_5 L_i + \beta_6 C_i + \beta_7 S_i + \beta_8 B_i + \epsilon_i \tag{36}$$

where disadvantage is proven if:

$$\beta_8 < 0 \tag{37}$$

after controlling for relevant variables, or if race significantly predicts the distribution of the other variables:

$$B_i \rightarrow A_i, N_i, E_i, H_i, L_i, C_i, S_i \tag{38}$$

This is important. Even when the direct race coefficient is reduced after controls, that does not disprove racism. It may prove that racism has already been laundered into the control variables.

For example, if race predicts neighborhood, and neighborhood predicts school quality, and school quality predicts income, then controlling for neighborhood may hide the racial mechanism rather than eliminate it.

The causal chain is:

$$Race \rightarrow Neighborhood \rightarrow School \rightarrow Income \tag{39}$$

If a researcher controls for neighborhood without acknowledging that race shaped neighborhood access, they may erase the evidence of the very system they are measuring.

13 Conclusion

The scientific case is clear: socially constructed Black Americans are not disadvantaged because of natural deficiency, cultural inferiority, or individual failure. They are disadvantaged because the United States historically converted racial classification into a system of asset denial, labor extraction, spatial confinement, legal vulnerability, and institutional exclusion.

That system did not disappear when signs came down, laws changed, or polite language replaced open racial hostility.

It became statistical.

It became financial.
It became geographic.
It became biological through stress.
It became educational through school boundaries.
It became algorithmic through data.
It became generational through inheritance.

In 2026, the question is not whether every Black American has personally experienced racism. The question is whether socially constructed Blackness remains a predictor of inherited disadvantage inside American systems.

The evidence says yes.
The equation says yes.
The country's own outputs say yes.
And under the Intent Framework, repeated outputs reveal operational intent.
America may declare equality.
But America continues to operationalize hierarchy.

Key Line

> **Disadvantage does not require personal awareness. It only requires inherited position inside a system built before you arrived.**

Source Bank

Core Wealth, Inheritance, and Structural Position Sources

1. Federal Reserve. *Changes in Racial Inequality in the Survey of Consumer Finances.* 2023.
   Use this for the empirical foundation that racial wealth gaps persisted and widened slightly in 2022, even while Black and Hispanic families experienced faster percentage wealth growth.
   `https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/greater-wealth-greater-uncertainty-changes-in-racial-inequality-in-the-survey-of-consumer-finances-accessible-20231018.htm`

2. Brookings Institution. *Black Wealth Is Increasing, But So Is the Racial Wealth Gap.* 2024.
   Use this for the specific claim that the median white-Black wealth gap reached roughly $240,120 in 2022.
   `https://www.brookings.edu/articles/black-wealth-is-increasing-but-so-is-the-racial-wealth-gap/`

3. Urban Institute. *Racial Wealth Gap Research Hub.*
   Use this for the clean framing that, at the median, Black families hold about 13 cents for every dollar of white family wealth.
   `https://www.urban.org/tags/racial-wealth-gap`

4. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Racial Disparities in Intergenerational Poverty.*
   Use this for structural racism definitions and the intergenerational transmission argument: assets, skills, exposure, home equity, education, and opportunity compound across generations.
   `https://www.nationalacademies.org/read/27058/chapter/5`

5. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Wealth and Racial Stratification.*
   Use this as a deeper background source on how wealth functions as racial stratification rather than merely income difference.
   `https://www.nationalacademies.org/read/9719/chapter/11`

6. National Community Reinvestment Coalition. *The Racial Wealth Gap: 1992 to 2022.*

Use this to show that the median Black-white wealth gap has remained structurally persistent across decades, not simply as a temporary economic-cycle issue.
https://ncrc.org/the-racial-wealth-gap-1992-to-2022/

7. Modenesi, Marco. *Advancing Distribution Decomposition Methods Beyond Common Supports: Applications to Racial Wealth Disparities*. 2024.
Use this for the methodological argument that traditional decomposition methods can undercount parts of the Black-white wealth gap when observations fall outside shared covariate ranges.
https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.05759

Labor-Market Discrimination Sources

8. Bertrand, Marianne, and Sendhil Mullainathan. *Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination*. *American Economic Review*, 2004.
Essential source. Use this for the controlled resume-audit proof that white-sounding names received significantly more callbacks than Black-sounding names.
https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/0002828042002561

9. Bertrand, Marianne, and Sendhil Mullainathan. *Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination*. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper.
Use this if you want the working-paper version with accessible summary language, including the finding that higher resume quality boosted callbacks more for white names than for African American names.
https://www.nber.org/papers/w9873

10. Quillian, Lincoln, Devah Pager, Ole Hexel, and Arnfinn H. Midtbøen. *Meta-analysis of Field Experiments Shows No Change in Racial Discrimination in Hiring Over Time*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2017.
Use this to prove that anti-Black hiring discrimination did not meaningfully decline across decades of field experiments; white applicants received about 36% more callbacks than African American applicants.
https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1706255114

11. Quillian, Lincoln, John J. Lee, Mariana Oliver, and Arnfinn H. Midtbøen. *Trends in Racial and Ethnic Discrimination in Hiring in Six Western Countries*. 2023.

Useful for situating the United States within broader labor-market discrimination research and showing that callback-discrimination methodology remains active and relevant.
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9963383/

12. Yang, Yi, and Vishnu Murali. *Macroeconomics of Racial Disparities: Discrimination, Labor Market, and Wealth*. 2024.
Use this for a formal economic-modeling source connecting hiring discrimination to wage, employment, and wealth disparities.
https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.00615

Intergenerational Mobility and Childhood Environment Sources

13. Chetty, Raj, Nathaniel Hendren, Maggie R. Jones, and Sonya R. Porter. *Race and Economic Opportunity in the United States: An Intergenerational Perspective*. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.
Essential source. Use this to prove that racial inequality persists across income class and that Black boys face distinct downward mobility risks even when born into higher-income households.
https://hendren.scholars.harvard.edu/publications/race-and-economic-opportunity-united-states-intergenerational-perspective

14. Opportunity Insights. *Race and Economic Opportunity in the United States: Executive Summary*.
Use this for more accessible policy-facing language, especially the finding that Black boys who move to better neighborhoods earlier in childhood have better adult outcomes.
https://www.equality-of-opportunity.org/assets/documents/race_summary.pdf

15. Chetty, Raj, Nathaniel Hendren, Maggie R. Jones, and Sonya R. Porter. *Race and Economic Opportunity in the United States*. Full Paper.
Use this for the deeper technical argument that childhood exposure effects are race-specific and that neighborhood conditions have causal effects on racial disparities.
https://opportunityinsights.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/race_paper.pdf

Housing, Redlining, and Geographic Inheritance Sources

16. National Community Reinvestment Coalition. *HOLC "Redlining" Maps: The Persistent Structure of Segregation and Economic Inequality.*
    Use this to connect historical redlining maps to present-day neighborhood inequality.
    https://ncrc.org/holc/

17. Rothstein, Richard. *The Color of Law: A Forgotten History of How Our Government Segregated America.* Economic Policy Institute.
    Use this for the argument that residential segregation was not simply private prejudice, but was deliberately structured through public policy.
    https://www.epi.org/publication/the-color-of-law-a-forgotten-history-of-how-our-government-segregated-america/

18. Brookings Institution. *Homeownership, Racial Segregation, and Policies for Racial Wealth Equity.* 2021.
    Use this to connect lower Black homeownership and the racial wealth gap to slavery, Jim Crow, redlining, and anti-Black housing policy.
    https://www.brookings.edu/articles/homeownership-racial-segregation-and-policies-for-racial-wealth-equity/

19. Lewis-Faupel, Sean, and Stoyan Tenev. *Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Mortgage Lending.* 2024.
    Use this for modern mortgage-market evidence: even after conditioning on creditworthiness variables, economically meaningful disparities in approval and pricing remain.
    https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.00895

20. Lynch, Emily E., Lorene M. Nelson, Pilar Rocha, et al. *The Legacy of Structural Racism: Associations Between Historic Redlining and Current Mortgage Lending Disinvestment and Health.* 2021.
    Use this to connect historical lending disinvestment to current health outcomes in hypersegregated neighborhoods.
    https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8099638/

Health, Weathering, and Biological Consequence Sources

21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. *Provisional Life Expectancy Estimates for 2022.*
    Use this to show that Black life expectancy has consistently been lower than white life

expectancy, even where the gap narrows or widens over time.
https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/vsrr/vsrr031.pdf

22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. *Maternal Mortality Rates in the United States, 2023*.
Use this for the stark Black maternal mortality statistic: in 2023, Black women had 50.3 deaths per 100,000 live births, significantly higher than white, Hispanic, and Asian women.
https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/maternal-mortality/2023/maternal-mortality-rates-2023.htm

23. Williams, David R., and Selina A. Mohammed. *Racism and Health I: Pathways and Scientific Evidence*. 2013.
Use this as one of the strongest theoretical and empirical reviews explaining how racism affects health through multiple pathways.
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3863357/

24. Geronimus, Arline T. *Weathering Framework*. University of Michigan School of Public Health Faculty Profile.
Use this for the weathering argument: chronic social exclusion and exploitation can produce early health deterioration among African Americans and other oppressed groups.
https://sph.umich.edu/faculty-profiles/geronimus-arline.html

25. Krieger, Nancy, Jarvis T. Chen, Pamela D. Waterman, Mathew V. Kiang, and Justin Feldman. *Structural Racism, Historical Redlining, and Risk of Preterm Birth in New York City. American Journal of Public Health*, 2020.
Use this to connect historical HOLC grades to reproductive health outcomes, especially preterm birth.
https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305656

Optional Add-On Sources for Criminal Justice and Policing

1. Stanford Open Policing Project. *Findings*.
Use this to show that Black drivers are generally stopped at higher rates than white drivers, with patterns persisting after age and gender controls.
https://openpolicing.stanford.edu/findings/

2. Pierson, Emma, Camelia Simoiu, Jan Overgoor, et al. *A Large-Scale Analysis of Racial Disparities in Police Stops Across the United States.*
   Use this for a technical, large-dataset policing model.
   `https://5harad.com/papers/100M-stops.pdf`

3. United States Sentencing Commission. *2023 Demographic Differences in Federal Sentencing.*
   Use this for sentencing disparity data; the report found Black males received sentences 13.4% longer than white males in the measured comparison.
   `https://www.ussc.gov/research/research-reports/2023-demographic-differences-federal-sentencing`

4. Muhammad, Khalil Gibran. *The Condemnation of Blackness: Race, Crime, and the Making of Modern Urban America.* Harvard University Press.
   Use this for the historical construction of Black criminality as a social-control narrative.
   `https://www.hup.harvard.edu/books/9780674238145`

5. Alexander, Michelle. *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness.*
   Use this for the caste-system and mass-incarceration argument.
   `https://newjimcrow.com/`