Practical Examples Across Sectors Using the Intent Economy Equations (Study Notes + Simulation + Workbook + Geopolitical Application)

Cedric Jones

0. Quick Reference: The Core Equations

System state (snapshot):

$$S(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Baseline (the "before" picture):

$$S_{baseline} = S(t_0^-)$$

Evolution (systems change over time):

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = I(t) - \Gamma(S,t)S + \Phi(S,t)$$

Boundary encoding (history compresses into structure):

$$B(t) = \mathcal{C}[S(0:t)], \qquad \frac{dS}{dt} = F(S,B)$$

Memory (what remains after the push ends):

$$M = S(\infty) - S_{baseline}, \qquad \|M\|$$

Soft intent (small pushes) and threshold:

$$I_{soft}(t) = \epsilon_i,\ \epsilon_i \ll 1, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{t_1} I_{soft}(t)\,dt = \sum \epsilon_i, \qquad \sum \epsilon_i > \lambda_{critical}$$

Reversal cost (undoing memory costs energy):

$$E_{reversal} \propto \|M\| + \kappa$$

How to study these examples: For each case below, do the same seven moves: (1) define $S(t)$, (2) name $I(t)$, (3) list friction Γ, (4) list reactions Φ, (5) name boundary $B(t)$, (6) state the likely sign/magnitude of M, (7) describe $E_{reversal}$.

1. Practical Examples by Major Business and Labor Sector

1.1 Agriculture (Farms, Food Supply, Seasonal Labor)

Scenario: A region introduces a new pesticide regulation + subsidy for organic transition.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Yield \\ Input\ Cost \\ Labor\ Availability \\ Soil\ Health \\ Consumer\ Trust \end{bmatrix} \qquad I(t_0) = \Delta S_0$$

Intent $I(t)$: decrease harmful inputs, increase soil health and consumer trust.
Friction Γ: transition cost, training gaps, short-term yield risk, supply contracts.
Adaptive reactions Φ: farmers switch crops, suppliers reprice, black-market inputs appear.
Boundary $B(t)$: certification rules, inspection routines, retailer procurement standards.
Memory M: even after subsidies end, the supply chain stays reconfigured (new vendors, new practices).
Reversal cost: high if the region invested in certification infrastructure and buyer contracts (κ large).

1.2 Manufacturing (Plants, Industrial Automation, Unions)

Scenario: A factory deploys robotics + changes performance metrics.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Throughput \\ Defect\ Rate \\ Headcount \\ Wage\ Pressure \\ Injury\ Rate \\ Morale \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent $I(t)$: raise throughput, reduce defects and injury.
Friction Γ: union rules, retraining time, capital constraints, legacy equipment.
Reactions Φ: skill upgrading, grievance filings, informal workarounds, sabotage risk.
Boundary $B(t)$: new SOPs, job classifications, maintenance schedules, incentive pay schema.
Memory M: boundary changes lock in a new labor structure even if leadership changes.
Reversal cost: costly to restore old line staffing and skills ($\|M\|$ large, κ large).

1.3 Construction (Sites, Safety, Subcontracting)

Scenario: A city enforces strict safety audits + penalties.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Project\ Speed \\ Safety\ Incidents \\ Compliance \\ Bid\ Prices \\ Subcontractor\ Stability \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce incidents, raise compliance.
Friction: fragmented subs, thin margins, short timelines.

Reactions: better documentation, "paper compliance" gaming, subcontractor churn.
Boundary: audit regime, contract clauses, insurance underwriting rules.
Memory: contractors build audit-ready culture; bids permanently change.
Reversal cost: high because insurance and contract norms shift ($B(t)$ hardens).

1.4 Energy & Utilities (Grid, Pricing, Reliability)

Scenario: A utility introduces time-of-use pricing + smart meters.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Peak\ Load \\ Grid\ Stability \\ Customer\ Satisfaction \\ Arrears \\ Adoption\ of\ Efficiency \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce peak load, increase stability.
Friction: distrust, cost of devices, political pushback.
Reactions: load shifting, complaints, solar adoption, avoidance behavior.
Boundary: tariffs, meter standards, billing systems, consumer protection rules.
Memory: customers adopt new habits; tariff architecture persists.
Reversal cost: high once billing systems and tariffs are entrenched.

1.5 Transportation & Logistics (Ports, Freight, Supply Chains)

Scenario: A port changes scheduling rules + imposes congestion pricing.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Turnaround\ Time \\ Congestion \\ Freight\ Cost \\ Driver\ Retention \\ On\text{-}Time\ Delivery \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce congestion, improve on-time delivery.
Friction: contractor model, peak surges, multi-party coordination.
Reactions: rerouting, off-peak shifts, strike threats, broker arbitrage.
Boundary: appointment systems, pricing rules, labor agreements.
Memory: new routing patterns persist even if pricing relaxes.
Reversal cost: costly due to re-optimized networks and contracts.

1.6 Retail (Stores, Inventory, Loss Prevention)

Scenario: A chain intensifies loss prevention + changes return policy.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Shrink \\ Customer\ Trust \\ Conversion \\ Employee\ Stress \\ Revenue \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce shrink, protect margins.
Friction: staff capacity, false positives, brand reputation risk.

Reactions: customer churn, discriminatory enforcement risk, employee burnout.
Boundary: return rules, surveillance SOPs, incident review processes.
Memory: trust baselines shift; shopping patterns change.
Reversal cost: high if brand trust is damaged (M large, κ social).

1.7 Hospitality (Restaurants, Hotels, Service Labor)

Scenario: A hotel group eliminates daily housekeeping by default.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Labor\ Hours \\ Guest\ Satisfaction \\ Costs \\ Cleanliness\ Incidents \\ Staff\ Retention \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce costs, stabilize staffing.
Friction: guest expectations, union rules, cleanliness risks.
Reactions: guest complaints, tips shift, staff redeployments.
Boundary: service standards, opt-in protocols, staffing model.
Memory: new norms of service become baseline.
Reversal cost: moderate-to-high depending on reputational drift.

1.8 Healthcare (Hospitals, Clinics, Insurance)

Scenario: A hospital introduces AI triage + stricter throughput targets.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Wait\ Time \\ Clinical\ Errors \\ Provider\ Burnout \\ Patient\ Trust \\ Cost\ per\ Visit \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce wait time, cut cost.
Friction: regulation, liability risk, clinician skepticism.
Reactions: workarounds, alert fatigue, gaming documentation.
Boundary: triage protocols, audit trails, billing codes.
Memory: documentation culture hardens; trust shifts remain.
Reversal cost: high due to compliance and liability lock-in.

1.9 Education (Schools, Universities, Workforce Training)

Scenario: A district adopts restorative discipline + changes suspension rules.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Suspensions \\ Attendance \\ Classroom\ Disruption \\ Trust \\ Teacher\ Morale \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce suspensions, raise trust.
Friction: classroom realities, training needs, parent expectations.

Reactions: student behavior shifts, teacher burnout or buy-in.
Boundary: discipline code, reporting processes, counselor staffing model.
Memory: norms and trust baselines persist after leadership changes.

1.10 Finance (Banking, Credit, Risk, Markets)

Scenario: A bank tightens credit standards after a fraud wave.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Approval\ Rate \\ Default\ Rate \\ Revenue \\ Customer\ Access \\ Reputation \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce defaults and fraud.
Friction: competitive pressure, regulatory scrutiny, fairness concerns.
Reactions: customers shift banks, alternative lenders grow, internal risk gaming.
Boundary: underwriting rules, KYC processes, model governance.
Memory: access patterns and reputational baselines persist.

1.11 Technology / IT (Platforms, Algorithms, AI Deployment)

Scenario: A platform changes ranking to prioritize "quality".

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Engagement \\ Misinformation \\ Creator\ Income \\ User\ Trust \\ Polarization \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: reduce misinformation, increase trust.
Friction: creator backlash, measurement error, adversarial adaptation.
Reactions: gaming, migration, narrative warfare, feedback loops (Φ large).
Boundary: policy, enforcement tooling, ranking architecture, appeals process.
Memory: creator ecosystem and norms shift; hard to restore prior equilibrium.

1.12 Media & Communications (News, Ads, Narratives)

Scenario: A newsroom shifts to "breaking news first" incentives.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Speed \\ Accuracy \\ Trust \\ Revenue \\ Staff\ Burnout \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: win the attention race.
Friction: fact-checking time, ethical standards, editorial control.
Reactions: clickbait drift, corrections fatigue, audience cynicism.
Boundary: incentive comp, editorial SOPs, headline norms.
Memory: trust and norms permanently shift after repeated cycles.

1.13 Professional Services (Law, Consulting, Accounting)

Scenario: A firm introduces utilization targets + aggressive billable enforcement.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Billable\ Hours \\ Quality \\ Attrition \\ Client\ Trust \\ Profit \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: increase profit.
Friction: burnout, ethics, client expectations.
Reactions: padding risk, quality drop, talent drain.
Boundary: review criteria, promotion ladder, staffing model.
Memory: cultural shift persists; reversal requires rebuilding trust and talent pipelines.

1.14 Public Sector / Government (Agencies, Enforcement, Legitimacy)

Scenario: An agency changes enforcement priorities.

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Compliance \\ Legitimacy \\ Backlog \\ Trust \\ Discretionary\ Behavior \end{bmatrix}$$

Intent: "get tough" or "be lenient" in a domain.
Friction: courts, oversight, internal culture.
Reactions: strategic compliance, avoidance, civil resistance.
Boundary: administrative rules, case handling, precedent-like SOPs.
Memory: legitimacy baseline and discretionary norms persist.

Study move: Pick 3 sectors and explicitly write down a plausible sign for each component of M (positive/negative shift), then explain why reversal cost would be high or low.

2. A Small Numerical Simulation Model (Discrete-Time Toy Model)

To study trajectories without calculus, use a discrete-time version:

$$S_{k+1} = S_k + \Delta t\,(I_k - \Gamma S_k + \Phi(S_k))$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ counts time steps.

2.1 Choose a simple 2D state

Let

$$S_k = \begin{bmatrix} T_k \\ R_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where T_k = trust (0 to 1 scale), R_k = rule-strictness (0 to 1 scale).

2.2 Choose simple parameters

Let $\Delta t = 1$,

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.20 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad I_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0.20 \\ 0.10 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \text{ and } I_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ after.}$$

Let a basic "reaction" term increase trust when rules are stable:

$$\Phi(S_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.10 \tanh(2R_k - 1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(This says: if rules feel stable/legit, trust gets a small extra boost; if rules feel arbitrary, trust drops.)

2.3 Example run (start at baseline)

Let

$$S_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.40 \\ 0.40 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute $\Phi(S_0)$:

$$\Phi(S_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.10 \tanh(2(0.40) - 1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.10 \tanh(-0.20) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} -0.0197 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now update:

$$S_1 = S_0 + (I_0 - \Gamma S_0 + \Phi(S_0)).$$

Compute each part:

$$\Gamma S_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30(0.40) \\ 0.20(0.40) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.12 \\ 0.08 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$I_0 - \Gamma S_0 + \Phi(S_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.20 \\ 0.10 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0.12 \\ 0.08 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -0.0197 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0603 \\ 0.0200 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$S_1 \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.4603 \\ 0.4200 \end{bmatrix}.$$

You can iterate this for $k = 2, 3, \ldots$ to see: (1) how the push changes the system, (2) whether the system settles, and (3) what remains after I_k goes to zero.

2.4 Memory from the simulation

If the system stabilizes to S_∞, then memory is:

$$M = S_\infty - S_{baseline}.$$

In this toy model, if $S_{baseline} = S_0$, then

$$M = S_\infty - S_0.$$

3. Case Study Workbook (Reusable Template)

Use this worksheet for any real-world case.

3.1 Case identification

- **System name:** _____
- **Sector:** _____
- **Time window:** t_0 to t_1
- **Primary intervention/event:** _____

3.2 Define the state vector

Write $S(t)$ and define each component:

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1(t) \\ s_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ s_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $s_1(t) =$ _____
- $s_2(t) =$ _____
- ...

3.3 Intent, friction, reaction

$$I(t_0) = \Delta S_0$$

- **Stated intent:** _____
- **Revealed intent (money/enforcement/time):** _____

List friction sources (informally describe Γ):

- Procedural: _____
- Economic: _____
- Cultural: _____
- Informational: _____

List reactions (Φ):

- Compliance: _____
- Avoidance/workarounds: _____
- Exploitation/gaming: _____
- Narrative response: _____

3.4 Boundary encoding

Define boundary $B(t)$:

$$B(t) = \mathcal{C}[S(0:t)]$$

- Laws/rules: _____
- SOPs/protocols: _____
- Incentives: _____
- Narratives: _____

3.5 Memory and reversal

$$M = S(\infty) - S_{baseline}, \qquad E_{reversal} \propto \|M\| + \kappa$$

- What is likely to remain? _____
- Why is reversal easy/hard? (estimate κ) _____

4. Geopolitical Conflict Application (Worked Structure)

4.1 Scenario: Sanctions + Counter-Sanctions + Narrative War

Consider a conflict where one bloc imposes sanctions to change another state's behavior.

Define a simplified state:

$$S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Economic\ Capacity \\ Public\ Trust \\ Elite\ Cohesion \\ Military\ Sustainment \\ International\ Legitimacy \end{bmatrix}.$$

Intent: force compliance via economic constraint (sanctions are $I(t)$).
Friction Γ: black markets, alternative trade routes, domestic propaganda, regime control.
Reactions Φ: import substitution, repression, alliance-building, cyber operations, narrative campaigns.

Boundary encoding:

$$B(t) = \mathcal{C}[S(0:t)]$$

Examples of $B(t)$ in geopolitics:

- new trade corridors and payment rails
- long-term defense procurement rules
- hardened internal security laws
- durable information/narrative institutions

Memory:

$$M = S(\infty) - S_{baseline}.$$

Even if sanctions end, the system may not revert: new alliances, new trade infrastructure, and new legitimacy baselines remain.

Reversal cost:

$$E_{reversal} \propto \|M\| + \kappa.$$

Here κ includes: coordination among allies, domestic political constraints, reputational and legitimacy repair, and security dilemmas.

4.2 Soft intent in conflict (slow drift)

Many shifts are not one big act; they are repeated small escalations:

$$I_{soft}(t) = \epsilon_i, \qquad \sum \epsilon_i > \lambda_{critical}.$$

Examples: small border incidents, incremental censorship, tiny treaty violations, repeated disinformation campaigns. These can cross a threshold and create irreversible instability.

Study prompt: Pick a real conflict and fill the workbook (Section 3). Then answer: what is likely to be the long-run memory M even after active fighting ends?

5. Next Build Steps (What we can formalize next)

- Turn the toy simulation into a multi-sector "scenario library" (one parameter set per sector).
- Add a minimal boundary update rule (e.g., $B_{k+1} = B_k + \eta(S_k - B_k)$) to model institutional hardening.
- Create a workbook PDF version with checkboxes and tables for your site.
- Apply the framework to a specific geopolitical conflict you choose (sanctions, occupation, ceasefire breakdown, etc.).