# Artificial Intelligence as Commodity and Infrastructure

A Framework for Strategic Differentiation in the Intent Economy

Cedric Jones / Code and Philosophy

The Intent Economy Research Series

Working Draft v0.1

November 8, 2026

**Abstract**

This paper argues that artificial intelligence is simultaneously becoming a commodity input and a strategic infrastructure layer. The distinction matters because organizations that treat AI as interchangeable software may achieve automation without transformation, while organizations that embed AI into governed workflows, institutional memory, and explicit intent structures may produce durable strategic advantage. This working paper is part of a broader research program examining the role of intent, friction, infrastructure, and institutional memory in the design of contemporary organizations and future public systems. It is written as a conceptual draft: rigorous enough to guide further research, but open enough to invite expansion, criticism, and empirical application.

**Keywords:** artificial intelligence, commoditization, infrastructure, strategy, intent economy

## 1 Introduction: The Commodity Confusion

The public conversation around artificial intelligence frequently collapses several different phenomena into one category. A foundation model, an API call, an assistant interface, an automated workflow, and a governed decision system are all described as AI, yet they carry different strategic meanings. The result is a confusion between access and advantage.

The central claim of this paper is simple: AI models are moving toward commodity economics, but AI systems are not. Raw intelligence access will become increasingly abundant, substitutable, and price sensitive. Strategic advantage will move toward the systems that direct, govern, contextualize, and learn from that intelligence.

This distinction echoes classical strategic management arguments about resources and capabilities. A resource may be valuable, but sustained advantage depends on whether it is rare, difficult to imitate, and organizationally embedded (Barney, 1991; Porter, 1985).

## 2 The Model Layer as Commodity

The model layer is where commoditization pressure is strongest. Organizations can buy access to multiple large models, switch vendors, mix open and proprietary systems, and route tasks based on price, latency, policy constraints, or performance. This does not make models unimportant. It means the model alone is unlikely to remain the durable moat for most adopters.

Commodities are not trivial. Electricity is a commodity, but the systems built on it are civilization-defining. Cloud compute is widely available, but the architecture, data, security, and workflows built around it produce differentiating effects. AI is entering a similar phase.

## 3 AI as Infrastructure

AI becomes infrastructure when it is connected to an organization's data, workflows, decision rights, review processes, controls, and learning loops. At that point, the question is no longer whether the tool can produce text, code, images, or predictions. The question is whether the system can reliably improve organizational behavior.

Infrastructure is often invisible when functioning and painfully visible when broken (Star, 1999). AI infrastructure will be similar. Its value will appear not merely in impressive outputs but in the stability of decision pathways, the reduction of friction, the preservation

of institutional memory, and the ability to correct error before it becomes policy.

## 4 Strategic Differentiation After Access

If many organizations can access similar models, then advantage migrates to context. The differentiated assets become proprietary data, domain-specific judgment, governance discipline, workflow design, and the clarity of the goals being optimized.

This is why the Intent Economy requires a different vocabulary. The question is not merely, "Which AI do you use?" The stronger question is, "What intent governs the AI, what friction does it encounter, and what system outcome does it reinforce?"

## 5 Conclusion

AI as commodity is not a threat to strategy. It is the reason strategy matters more. When intelligence becomes abundant, intent becomes scarce. The organizations that understand this will treat AI not as magic software, but as governed intelligence infrastructure.

## References

Barney, Jay (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.

Porter, Michael E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. Free Press.

Star, Susan Leigh (1999). The Ethnography of Infrastructure. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(3), 377–391 https://doi.org/10.1177/00027649921955326.